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Abstract

Over the past decade, the concepts of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) and human security have emerged in international development and developmental studies. This paper aims to study the two concepts comparatively. Both concepts cover a lot of common ground, including the idea of sustainable development that is now increasingly seen as an important agenda. However, they are still new and often abstract. Many times, they bring about confusion and difficulties in implementation. Although an exploration of the conceptual interdependence of ESD and human security has a great potential, such research has rarely been undertaken. This comparative study might suggest the interrelation between ESD and human security as well as some choices and challenges in order to achieve the concepts both as destinations and means of a more sustainable future.
Over the past decade, the concepts of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) and human security have emerged in international development and developmental studies. Although the formation and the development of these two concepts seem to be slightly different, they cover a lot of common ground.

Today, ESD becomes a concept and terminology that is being promoted and driven by different institutional platforms especially organizations under UN umbrella including UNESCO and UNEP. It is being increasingly recognized through the influence of Agenda 21 and the more recent World Summit on Sustainable Development at Johannesburg (2002). The Summit broadened the vision of sustainable development and reaffirmed the educational objectives of the Millennium Development Goals and the Education for All. Following the Plan for Implementation of the Johannesburg Summit, the United Nations General Assembly adopted Resolution 57/254 on the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development, 2005-2014, and designed UNESCO as lead agency for the promotion of the Decade (JBIC, 2004). Since then, the concept of ESD has been gaining momentum as one of vital platforms to achieve the goal of sustainable development.

During this same decade, the concept of human security has been around within the international system as well. The concept debuted in 1994 when UNDP issued the
Human Development Report and identified human security for the first time by marking the distinction between “freedom from want” and “freedom from fear” (UNDP, 1994). Since then the concept has been adopted by a number of states and international organizations. Between 2001 and 2003, Canada and Japan provided the leadership and funding to support the concept of human security on global agenda. Since then, it has become a topic of reform agendas in the UN and regional organization, such as the European Union (Tadjbukhash, 2005).

Despite all the interest and support from several groups, governments and international bodies, ESD and human security, however, have not been adopted and mainstreamed. To maximize the benefit of the concepts and ensure that they will bring a better and more sustainable future to all of us, the interconnection between ESD and human security should be addressed.

Although an exploration of the conceptual interdependence of ESD and human security has a great potential, such research has rarely been undertaken. Many times, education is considered the cradle of human security. Lertchalolarr and Sinlarat (2005 p.91) revealed that to secure human capability, education is directly concerned since education in every aspect empowers human beings to “realize their potential, survive in their society and environment, have good quality of life, and have dignity”. Another
blueprint of human security, *Human Security Now*, by the Commission on Human Security also dedicated its chapter 7 to “Knowledge, skills and values for human security”. In this chapter, the report highlighted that “education can give people freedom to promote their human security and that of others” (Commission on Human Security, 2003, p.115). However, most of the studies and documents on human security tend to focus only on basic education without mentioning ESD. Therefore, this comparative study aims to suggest the interrelation between ESD and human security, as well as some choices and challenges in order to achieve the concepts both as destinations and means of a more sustainable future.

**ESD: what is it really?**

It is impossible to move to a further discussion or debate without first defining ESD. However, defining ESD itself can bring about a huge debate. It can be said that to answer “what is ESD?” can be the first point of contention.

Although ESD has been increasingly recognized as an emerging field of education especially since the Decade of ESD was initiated, the precise definition of ESD is still vague and abstract. Many meanings of ESD have been proffered, but there is no single universal one. Moreover, its concept differs under different agencies. Even in
Resolution 57/254 on the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development, there was no reference that can be referred as a definition of ESD (UN, 2002). The least ambiguous definition of ESD is probably found in UNESCO’s Promotion of Global Partnership for the UN Decade of ESD: The International Implementation Scheme for the Decade in brief (UNESCO, 2006).

Still, rather than a single solid definition, the booklet offered three definitions. The first one is “Education that enables people to foresee, face up to and solve the problems that threaten life on our planet”. The second definition stated that ESD also means “education that disseminates the values and principles that are the basis of sustainable development (intergenerational equity, gender parity, social tolerance, poverty reduction, environmental protection and restoration, natural resource conservation, and just and peaceful societies)”. However, the meaning that seems to be the easiest to understand is the last one, “education that highlights the complexity and interdependence of three spheres, the environment, society— broadly defined to include culture—and the economy” (UNESCO, 2006, p.5).

ESD and human security
Besides both emerging concepts having unclear definitions, ESD and human security also share other common ground, including conceptual similarities. Multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary seem to be a common characteristic of the two concepts. UNDP’s *Human Development Report 1994* proposed that threats to human security could be grouped in seven categories: economic security, food security, health security, environmental security, personal security, community security and political security. The report also stated that one of the four main essential characteristics of the concept is that “the components of human security are *interdependent*” (UNDP, 1994, p. 22). In this regard, the main seven components of human security are interrelated to each other as it is shown in Figure 1.

ESD is also based on multidisciplinary approach, as Sheldon Shaeffer, director of UNESCO Asia and Pacific Regional Bureau for Education acknowledged that “ESD is interdisciplinary and holistic” (UNESCO Bangkok, 2007, p.iii).
Figure 1. Concept of human security

Figure 2. Concept of ESD
Although ESD contents are mainly based on three sustainable development pillars, the environment, society and economy, other areas such as culture, health, peace and equity are also integrated as UNESCO Bangkok suggested “Core ESD Issues” and its examples (UNESCO Bangkok, 2005, p.2) in Figure 2. Comparing the key concepts in Figure 1 and 2, they overlap and link in many points as we can see in Table 1. Health and Environmental issues are obviously overlapping. However, other components are also closely intertwined. Economic security can be boosted by transformation and engagement of leaders. Biodiversity and climate change greatly affect food security. Personal security relate to engagement of leaders, information and awareness, as well as many issues in cross-cutting issues and themes, including human rights and gender equity. Intercultural understanding and community empowerment can be considered as a key component to community security. Human rights, governance and peace issues also closely link with political security.
Table 1. Core ESD issues with examples and human security key components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core ESD issues</th>
<th>Example of the issues</th>
<th>Related human security components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information and Awareness</td>
<td>Eco-media, media literacy, ICT</td>
<td>Economic and personal Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Systems</td>
<td>Learning for local and indigenous knowledge, integrating traditional and modern technologies</td>
<td>Community Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Protection and Management</td>
<td>Biodiversity, climate change, natural resources, conservation</td>
<td>Food and Environmental Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace and Equity</td>
<td>Conflict resolution, peace, equity, appropriate development, democracy</td>
<td>Community and political community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Context</td>
<td>Community Development, empowerment</td>
<td>Community and political community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation</td>
<td>Rural transformation, urbanization, sustainable habitat, water, sanitation public infrastructure</td>
<td>Economic and Health Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Diversity and intercultural/interfaith understanding</td>
<td>Community Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Cutting Issues and Themes</td>
<td>Human rights, citizenship, gender equality, sustainable future, holistic approaches, innovation, partnerships, sustainable production and consumption, governance</td>
<td>Environmental, Personal and Political Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>HIV/AIDS, malaria</td>
<td>Health Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Education</td>
<td>Integrated pest management, environmental awareness, community recycling programs</td>
<td>Environmental Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement of Leaders</td>
<td>Professional training courses, executive education, partnerships, networking</td>
<td>Economic and personal security</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The data from column 1 and 2 are from Promotion of global partnership for the UN decade of ESD: The international implementation scheme for the decade in brief (p.2), by UNESCO Bangkok, 2005.

According to the report entitled Our Common Future that was launched by the World Commission on Environment and Development or the Brundtland Committee in 1987, sustainable development is widely accepted as “development that meets the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs” (p. 54). In this regard, sustainable development concept also reflects human
security since it is ensuring “freedom from want” of present and future generations (Good Governance for Social Development and Environment Institution, 2003, p.247).

Meanwhile, as a large number of global security issues, such as terrorism, climate change and natural disasters, including the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami and Hurricane Katrina in 2005, have highlighted the fragility and sensitivity of human security, ESD can be also a vital tool to ensure “freedom from fear”.

In Human Development Report 1994, UNDP revealed that “the real threats to human security in the next century will arise more from the actions of millions of people than from aggression by a few nations” (p.32). The report also indentified many forms of threats in the next century including environmental degradation and international terrorism (UNDP, 1994, p.32). To prevent the threat of human security and ensure global sustainable future, only to achieve the universal basic education might not be enough. ESD should be integrated to ensure that individuals are empowered to contribute to global human security and sustainable development.

*Choices and challenges*

Although ESD and human security are the concepts that emerged within the same period and are both being propelled by states and various organizations especially UN
agencies, surprisingly, ESD documents almost never mentioned about human security and vice versa. As the two concepts share several components in similar, they should be linked or integrated to support each other. ESD can be employed as a tool to ensure human security. At the same time, human security can be viewed as one of ESD’s goals. However, the vagueness in the definition and concept of ESD and human security seems to be a handicap. There is no single universal definition for both concepts. This may be a big burden given that definitions do count when consensus is sought for cooperation.

An examination of the root of ESD’s definitional problem requires the examination of the definition of sustainable development. Although the most recognized definition of “sustainable development” seems to be the one that was defined in Our Common Future, it is still difficult to understand. It can be interpreted and recreated differently, which can lead to confusion and contradiction. Fien & Tilbury (2002) even consider sustainable development as a concept that “imprecisely defined, ambiguous, socially and culturally contested, and therefore subject to both a wide range of interpretations” (as cited in Stevenson, 2006, p.278).

As a result of difficulties in defining “sustainable development” and the lack of a single universal meaning, it is difficult to place sustainable development as the center of any conceptualization. When the hollow concept of sustainable development is placed at
the core of ESD, unsurprisingly, there is the same hollowness in the concept of ESD as well.

Although human security might not need to directly deal with the terminological ambiguity of “sustainable development” like ESD since it does not put the term as its core, the lexicological vagueness is still unavoidable. At the present, UNDP’s 1994 definition of human security remains to be the most widely cited and “most authoritative” (Cockell, 2000). However, Paris (2001) acknowledged that the conceptualization of human security is still “sweeping and open-ended” (p.91). He also argued that different organizations and states defined human security differently even the leading states in this area like Japan and Canada (Paris, 2001, p.90-91). However, even if UNDP’s definition and conceptualization of human security is adopted to mitigate the present terminological problem, it still contains other conceptual confusions. King and Murray (2001-2002) suggested that although the seven components of human security “imply a number of potential interrelated and overlapping dimensions centered on human dignity, but do not provide a coherent framework for integrating them into a single concept” (p.591).

Besides the definitional and conceptual ambiguity, another problem that ESD and human security share is the confusion of paradigm shift. Since they are both emerging concepts, people tend to confuse them with more conventional concepts. As
there is a massive confusion between ESD and environmental education, there is also the same problems distinguishing between human security and national or state security. It is very important to work out carefully the relationships between the traditional concepts and the newcomers.

If all this vagueness and confusion are clarified, will ESD and human security be mainstreamed? The answer might not be as easy as it seems. Originally, both concepts were introduced and propelled by the developed world. In this regard, the gap between North and South as well as their varied political agendas might be a barrier. For example, although employing ESD as a tool to achieve human security is promising, it would be impossible if the goal of universal primary education still unachieved. For human security, Tadjbakhsh (2005, p.2) mentioned that the countries like China and India might not support the concept due to the fear that human security might introduce new conditionalities or excuses for unwarranted intervention and violation on state sovereignty. Therefore, making sure that all states and parties are in agreement and ready to propel ESD and human security mechanism is another big challenge that is needed to be taken into account.

Conclusion
To summarize, through this comparative study, I found that besides being an emerging concept, ESD and human security link and share some common ground, including conceptual similarities and problems. For the conceptual similarities, I found that multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary are a common characteristic of the two concepts. Comparing the key components, ESD and human security relate in many points. Health and Environmental issues are obviously overlapping. However, other components, economic, food, personal, community and political security, are also closely intertwined. Moreover, sustainable development can also ensure “freedom from fear” and “freedom from want”.

For choices and challenges, I found that ESD and human security share following three pointes. The first one is terminological and conceptual vagueness. The second point is confusion of paradigm shift. And the last one is the problem on international consensus and disparity to promote both concepts. The study reaffirms that ESD and human security are interdependent. The two concepts should be linked and promoted together. To prevent the threat of human security and ensure global sustainable future, only to achieve the universal basic education might not enough. ESD should be integrated to empower individuals to contribute to global human security and sustainable
development. In the future, how ESD and human security can be integrated and supported each other and how to overcome the marked challenges and problem should be studied.
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